KCL-MTH-06-16
hep-th/yymmnnn

arXiv:hep-th/0701026v2 20 Apr 2007

E_{11} and Higher Spin Theories

Peter West
Department of Mathematics
King's College, London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract

We consider the previous proposal of the author to use an extension of spacetime obtained by taking the non-linear realisation of the semi-direct product of E_{11} with a set of generators belonging to one of the fundamental representations of E_{11}. We determine, at low levels, the symmetries that the associated point particle moving in this generalised spacetime should possess and write down the corresponding action. Quantisation of similar actions has been shown to lead to the unfolded formulation of higher spin theories and we argue that the generalised coordinates will lead in the non-linear realisation to an infinite number of propagating higher spin fields.

By studying the properties of the maximal supergravity theories in ten and eleven dimensions it has been conjectured [1] that a Kac-Moody algebra of rank eleven, which was called E_{11}, is a symmetry of M theory. In this paper we will address some of the open questions which arose in this work and show that their resolution, at low levels, makes contact with the higher spins theories that have already been studied in the literature [2-14]. As such, it will be useful to explain how the conjecture of reference [1] came about and what points were unresolved. In an earlier paper [15] it was shown that the formulation of bosonic sector of eleven dimensional supergravity which included a six form as well as three form gauge fields is a non-linear realisation of an algebra G_{11}, together with the space-time translations P_a, with the local sub-algebra being the Lorentz algebra. The algebra G_{11} consisted of $GL(11)$, which was responsible for gravity, as well as rank three and rank six generators. To be more precise reference [15] took the simultaneous non-linear realisation of G_{11} and the space-time translation with the conformal algebra and found that the result was precisely the equations of motion of eleven dimensional supergravity, up to the specification of one constant.

The algebra G_{11} contained the algebra A_{10} and the Borel subalgebra of E_7 which was therefore seen to be a symmetry of eleven dimensional supergravity [15]. However, G_{11} looked incomplete in a number of ways, one of which was that it did not contain a larger local subalgebra than just the Lorentz group. In reference [1] it was proposed that one should replace G_{11} by a Kac-Moody algebra. It was shown that the smallest such algebra which contained G_{11} was E_{11} and it was proposed to use the non-linear realisation of this algebra with the local subalgebra being the Cartan involution I_c invariant subalgebra, denoted $I_c(E_{11})$. Indeed, the lowest level generators of E_{11} were just those of G_{11}. It followed from the the work of reference [15] that the non-linear realisation of E_{11} at low levels contained the bosonic fields of eleven dimensional supergravity and the result was the bosonic sector of eleven dimensional supergravity theory, provided one introduced space-time by putting the space-time translations into the group element and took the simultaneous non-linear realisation with the conformal group. However, the full non-linear realisation of E_{11} leads to a theory with infinite number of fields [1] in addition to those of the bosonic sector of eleven dimensional supergravity.

As is apparent from above, the work of reference [1] contained two open questions. The first of which is how can one introduce space-time, or equivalently, include the space-time translations in a way that is consistent with E_{11}? While, the second question concerns the conformal group. When used simultaneously with the non-linear realisation of E_{11} the conformal group has the effect of turning all the rigid transformations, at least at low levels, of E_{11} into local transformations. For example, $GL(D)$ transformations become general coordinate transformations [16] and the transformations of the three form and six form fields become local gauge transformations [15]. However, what was not answered was what algebra should replace the conformal algebra when dealing with the full E_{11} theory and furthermore what was the closure of this latter algebra and the E_{11} algebra?

An answer to the first question was given in reference [17]; it was proposed to included the space-time translations by working with a representation of E_{11} that included them. This was the fundamental representation l_1 associated with the node on the far end of the longest tail of the E_{11} Dynkin diagram, usually called node one, which at low levels has

the generators [17]

$$P_a, Z^{ab}, Z^{a_1\ldots a_5}, Z^{a_1\ldots a_7,b}, Z^{a_1\ldots a_8}, Z^{b_1b_2b_3,a_1\ldots a_8}, Z^{(cd),a_1\ldots a_9}, \ldots \tag{1}$$

Hence, if one takes the non-linear realisation of $E_{11}\otimes_s l_1$, simultaneously with the conformal group, one finds at low levels the bosonic sector of eleven dimensional supergravity theory. At low levels here means up to and including the rank six field of the E_{11} part, but only the space-time translations in the l_1 part. The symbol $\otimes_s$ means semi-direct product.

The l_1 multiplet contains generators with the same index structure as the central charges of the eleven dimensional supersymmetry algebra and indeed they can be identified with them [17]. This was not expected as the E_{11} computation just concerned the bosonic sector and did not incorporate fermions and so also no supersymmetry. In fact the l_1 representation is the multiplet of brane charges; this is apparent at low levels, but is also true at all levels and it provides an eleven dimensional origin for the exotic brane charges found in string theories in lower spacetime dimensions [26].

In the non-linear realisation of $E_{11}\otimes_s l_1$ one should introduce a generalised space-time with one coordinate for each generator in the l_1 representation, that is

$$x^a, x_{ab}, x_{a_1\ldots a_5}, x_{a_1\ldots a_7,b}, x_{a_1\ldots a_8}, x_{b_1b_2b_3,a_1\ldots a_8}, x_{(cd),a_1\ldots a_9}, \ldots \tag{2}$$

If we restrict ourselves to level zero then E_{11} becomes $GL(D)$ and the l_1 representation just contains P_a with the corresponding coordinate being the usual space-time x^a. Using the l_1 representation has the natural interpretation; it allows all possible ways of measuring "space-time", in particular it uses all possible brane probes and not just the point particles associated with the usual spacetime coordinate x^a. However, as the l_1 representation has an infinite number of generators so we have a generalised space-time with an infinite number of coordinates. This is perhaps not too troubling as the non-linear realisation is an effective theory, which hopefully encodes some of the most important symmetries of the underlying fundamental theory. Nonetheless, to build a non-linear realisation from an infinite number of coordinates would seem an intimidating proposition, but it is the purpose of this paper to make a first attempt by carrying out this calculation at low levels.

It is worth noting that the $E_{11}\otimes_s l_1$ non-linear realisation provides a natural setting for discussions of the generalised geometry envisaged by Hitchin and others in that the generalised spacetime it leads to admits a natural action of U-duality transformations. Indeed, the precise extensions of space-time required to realise the known duality symmetries for M theory dimensionally reduced to three and above dimensions was given in reference [20] on page 14.

The Cartan involution I_c acts on the Chevalley generators by $I_c(E_a) = -F_a$, $I_c(F_a) = -E_a$ and $I_c(H_a) = -H_a$. As such, it takes a generator corresponding to a root α to a generator with the root $-\alpha$. The low level Cartan involution invariant subalgebra of E_{11}, $I_c(E_{11})$, which was computed in reference [17]. and found to contain the generators

$$J_{ab}, S_{a_1a_2a_3}, S_{a_1\ldots a_6}, S_{a_1\ldots a_8,b}, \ldots \tag{3}$$

Here J_{ab} are just the usual Lorentz generators. The commutators of the generators of equation (3) follow from the underlying E_{11} algebra. Those for the first three generators

were found to be given by replacing the generators by eleven dimensional γ-matrices of the same rank. Thus up to this level the commutators are consistent those of SL(32) which is just the algebra of 32 by 32 matrices with determinant one for which a suitable basis are the eleven dimensional γ-matrices. The commutator $[S_{a_1a_2a_3}, S_{a_1\dots a_6}]$ is also consistent with SL(32) provided one sets $S_{b_1\dots b_6}{}^{[a_1a_2,a_3]} = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{[a_3}_{[b_1}\epsilon^{a_1a_2]}{}_{b_1\dots b_6]c_1\dots c_4}\gamma^{c_1\dots c_4}$, see equation (5.1) of reference [17]. Hence, up to level three $I_c(E_{11})$ is the same as $SL(32)$. We note that $SL(32)$ is not a subalgebra, but a truncation of E_{11} and above level three the two algebras are not the same. However, as we will be interested in just the first three levels we can replace the local subalgebra in the non-linear realisation, which was $I_c(E_{11})$, by $SL(32)$. Up to level three this means keeping only the generators P_a, Z^{ab} and $Z^{a_1\dots a_5}$ in the l_1 representation and so the coordinates $x^a, x_{ab}, x_{a_1\dots a_5}$.

It is an obvious consequence of reference [17] that λ_α, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 32$ is a representation of $I_c(E_{11})$ up to level three as it is a representation of SL(32). Indeed, it is a representation of $I_c(E_{11})$ at all levels as this algebra is generated by Lorentz transformations and $S_{a_1a_2a_3}$, both of which possess transformations on λ_α. We can interpret λ_α as a spinor in eleven dimensional space-time. As the first three generators of this $SL(32)$ coincide with those of $I_c(E_{11})$ we can compute, from the underlying action of E_{11}, the transformations they induce on the generators P_a, Z^{ab} and $Z^{a_1\dots a_5}$ in the l_1 representation. These agree with those induced from the $SL(32)$ transformations of the supercharges that occurs in the eleven dimensional supergravity algebra. However, as the spacetime generators P_a that occur in the l_1 representation of E_{11} and in the supersymmetry algebra are the same, we can therefore identify the two central charges in the supersymmetry algebra with the level one and level two generators in the l_1 representation [17].

We now consider the role of the conformal group in more detail. Let us denote by $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ the algebra that should replace the conformal algebra used at low levels when carrying out the simultaneous non-linear realisation of E_{11} to all levels. We will denote this algebra more generally, by $\mathbf{conf}(G \otimes_s l_1)$ if we are considering a non-linear realisation based on a Kac-Moody algebra $G \otimes_s l_1$ rather than $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$.

We note that $\mathbf{conf}(GL(D) \otimes_s l_1) = SO(2, D)$, as the fundamental representation associated with node one is just a vector of $SL(D)$ and so leads to the spacetime translations, i.e. $l_1 = T^D$. This algebra consists of $I_c(SL(D)) = SO(1, D-1)$, the translations P_a and the special conformal transformations K^a, both of which are representations of $SO(1, D-1)$. Clearly, $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ must contain $SO(2, D)$ at level zero. Given that $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ will be used in a simultaneous non-linear realisation with E_{11} we might expect it to contain the same local subalgebra, namely $I_c(E_{11})$ as then one only has to take objects that transform under this same local subalgebra to ensure invariance under the closure of both algebras. Up to level three this means it should contain the generators $J_{ab}, S_{a_1a_2a_3}, S_{a_1\dots a_6}$, that is in effect $SL(32)$, Both P_a and K_a must belong to representations of $SL(32)$ up to level three. This is the case for P_a as this is contained in l_1 which, up to level three, is the second rank symmetric tensor representation $Z_{\alpha\beta}$ of $SL(32)$. In fact K_a must also be contained in the representation $\tilde{Z}^{\alpha\beta}$ and so must contain $\tilde{K}^a, \tilde{Z}_{ab}, \tilde{Z}_{a_1\dots a_5}$. As such, up to level three $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ contains $GL(32)$, $Z_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\tilde{Z}^{\alpha\beta}$. An algebra that contains all these generators in a natural way is $Sp(64)$, indeed it is the smallest finite

dimensional semi-simple algebra to do so. The commutators of $Sp(64)$ are given by

$$[Z_{\alpha\delta}, Z_{\gamma\beta}] = 0, \quad [Z_{\alpha\beta}, R_\gamma{}^\delta] = -\delta^\delta_\alpha Z_{\gamma\beta} - \delta^\delta_\beta Z_{\gamma\alpha} \tag{4}$$

and

$$[\tilde{Z}^{\alpha\delta}, \tilde{Z}^{\gamma\beta}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{Z}^{\alpha\beta}, R^\gamma{}_\delta] = \delta^\beta_\gamma \tilde{Z}^{\alpha\delta} + \delta^\alpha_\gamma \tilde{Z}^{\delta\beta} \tag{5}$$

as well as

$$[Z_{\alpha\beta}, \tilde{Z}^{\gamma\delta}] = -\delta^\gamma_\beta R_\alpha{}^\delta - \delta^\delta_\alpha R_\beta{}^\gamma - \delta^\delta_\beta R_\alpha{}^\gamma - \delta^\gamma_\alpha R_\beta{}^\delta \tag{6}$$

In these equation we may write

$$Z_{\alpha\beta} = P_a(\gamma^a C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + Z^{a_1a_2}(\gamma_{a_1a_2}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + Z^{a_1\dots a_5}(\gamma_{a_1\dots a_5}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}^{\alpha\beta} = K^a(C\gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{Z}_{a_1a_2}(C\gamma^{a_1a_2})_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{Z}_{a_1\dots a_5}(C\gamma^{a_1\dots a_5})_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{8}$$

We will return later to the question of what is $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ at all levels.

The use of the local subalgebra $Sp(64)$ is confirmed by considering the supersymmetric extension discussed above. Now we must have an algebra that contains the conformal group and the supersymmetry algebra in eleven dimensions, but it is known [18] that the smallest such algebra is $Osp(1/64)$ which contains as its Grassmann even part $Sp(64)$. The relations of $Osp(1/64)$ are those of equations (4) (5) and (6) and

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = Z_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [Q_\alpha, Z_{\gamma\delta}] = 0, \quad [Q_\alpha, R_\gamma{}^\delta] = -\delta^\delta_\alpha Q_\gamma, \tag{9}$$

$$\{S^\alpha, S^\beta\} = \tilde{Z}^{\alpha\beta}, \ [S^\alpha, \tilde{Z}^{\gamma\delta}] = 0, \ [S^\gamma, R_\alpha{}^\beta] = \delta^\gamma_\alpha S^\beta, \ \{Q_\alpha, S^\beta\} = R_\alpha{}^\beta, \tag{10}$$

It is straightforward to find a supersymmetric generalisation of the l_1 representation up to level three. One expects that the fermions will only transform under the local subalgebra. This is indeed the case for the spinors that occur in the dimensional reduction of the maximal supergravity theories and for the inclusion of spinors into the E_{10} theory [19]. Since up to level three the local subalgebra coincides with SL(32) we may take as our Grassmann odd partners θ_α, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 32$. Hence, up to level three, we have the coordinates

$$x^{\alpha\beta} = x^a(C\gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta} + x^{a_1a_2}(C\gamma_{a_1a_2})^{\alpha\beta} + x^{a_1\dots a_5}(C\gamma_{a_1\dots a_5})^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\alpha \tag{11}$$

The supersymmetry transformations are as usual given by

$$\delta x^{\alpha\beta} = i(\epsilon^\alpha\theta^\beta + \epsilon^\beta\theta^\alpha), \quad \delta\theta^\alpha = \epsilon^\alpha \tag{12}$$

The supercharge also transforms under SL(32) as in from equation (9).

We could carry out the computation of the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ up to level three, in which case the fields are the coefficients of the generators of the E_{11} part of the group element and they would be functions of the first three coordinates of equation (2), while the coefficients of the generators of the l_1 representation in the group element

are the coordinates of the generalised spacetime in equation (2). However, it was explained in [20] that brane dynamics in the presence of a non-trivial background should also have an E_{11} symmetry and it will be much simpler to construct the analogous point particle action up the level three. The quantisation of this system leads to fields that depend on the three coordinates and so dynamics of the kind first mentioned.

Subsequent to reference [1], but before reference [17], it was proposed [21] to consider a theory based only on the E_{10} subalgebra of E_{11}, but a novel way was given of incorporating space-time that was different, even at lowest levels, from that considered in [1]. It was conjectured that space was contained in E_{10}, in particular, the fields were taken to depend only on time and their spatial derivatives were to be contained at higher levels in the E_{10} algebra.

Before discussing the role of E_{11} in brane dynamics it will be instructive to recall the simpler dynamics of the bosonic particle (0-brane) moving in D dimensional Minkowski spacetime. The generic point particle possesses the symmetry $ISO(1, D)$ which is non-linearly realised with local subgroup $SO(D-1)$ which is linearly realised. Thus one finds Goldstone fields for the spacetime translations and for the broken Lorentz group. Traditionally, one does not include the space-time translations, or the supercharges in the supersymmetric case, in the local subgroup, but it has been shown recently one can also include them and as a result worldline reparemeterisations and κ-symmetry naturally emerge from the non-linear realisation [27]. The massless bosonic particle possess more symmetry, namely the conformal group $SO(2, D)$. In fact one can formulate the massless point particle action as a non-linear realisation based on the conformal group $SO(2, D)$ for an appropriate subgroup and depending on the parameterisation of the coset one can find different equivalent formulations some of which contain twistor variables in a manifest way [22].

It is also possible to construct bosonic branes coupled to gravity from the viewpoint of non-linear realisations [15]. We recall that gravity by itself is just the non-linear realisation of $IGL(D) = GL(D) \otimes_s T^D$ when carried out simultaneously with the non-linear realisation of the conformal group, the local, subgroup for both groups being the group $SO(1, D-1)$ [16, 15]. In this formulation the fields associated to the generators of space-time translations are the coordinates of space-time and the other Goldstone fields, that is the graviton field, depend on these space-time coordinates. To construct the dynamics of the brane coupled to gravity one takes the same symmetry group, i.e. $IGL(D)$, but the local subgroup is now $SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$. One also lets the "fields" associated with the spacetime translations and the broken Lorentz generators depend on the coordinates that parameterise the brane world volume, but the remaining Goldstone fields, that is the the graviton, depend on the latter fields. We note that for a massless particle we should also have the enlarged conformal symmetry. This is consistent with the construction of gravity from the simultaneous non-linear realisation of $IGL(D)$ and this group.

We now wish to consider the analogue of this discussion for a point particle of the formulation of M theory based on a non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$. As explained above, the non-linear realisation in the absence of a brane is based on $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ with a local subgroup which is the Cartan involution invariant subgroup $I_c(E_{11}) \otimes_s l_1$. The algebra $I_c(E_{11})$ is preserved by the vacuum when all the E_{11} Goldstone fields are absent,

that is we have no background and so it is the analogue of $SO(1, D-1)$ for the point particle. Thus the point particle of M theory in the absence of background fields should have as a symmetry algebra $I_c(E_{11}) \otimes_s l_1$ and if it is massless it should be invariant under the analogue of the conformal group, that is $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$. As pointed out above, up to level three $I_c(E_{11})$ is just $SL(32)$ which acts linearly on the coordinates $x^a, x_{ab}, x_{a_1 \dots a_5}$ while $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ is $Sp(64)$. As a result, we require a point particle action with these symmetries. For the supersymmetric case the coordinates are those of equation (11) and the analogue of the conformal group is $Osp(1/64)$. An action invariant under these symmetries is given by

$$\int d\tau \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \tag{13}$$

In this equation $\Pi^{\alpha\beta} = \dot{x}^{\alpha\beta} - i(\theta^\alpha \dot{\theta}^\beta + \theta^\beta \dot{\theta}^\alpha), \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 32$ and $x^{\alpha\beta}$ is the most general symmetric matrix of equation (11), $\dot{x}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{dx^{\alpha\beta}}{d\tau}$ and λ_α and θ_α are Majorana spinors. To obtain the non-supersymmetric case we just set $\theta_\alpha = 0$. The spinor λ_α arises in taking the non-linear realisation of $Osp(1/64)$, or in the non-supersymmetric case $Sp(64)$, with an appropriate local subalgebra and it would be interesting to recover the above action from this perspective in a similar way to how actions of this generic form in lower dimensions have been found by taking smaller algebras in the non-linear realisation [22].

The action of equation (13) is obviously invariant under the supersymmetry transformations of equation (12) and has a manifest linearly realised $SL(32)$ symmetry. It also has a non-linearly realised $Osp(1/64)$ symmetry as required.

Actions of the generic form of equation (13) have appeared [8-14] in the literature in the context of higher spin theories. Indeed, upon quantising [8-14] such an action one finds the so called unfolded description [4-7] of the higher spin equations which have, in addition to the usual coordinates x^a of spacetime, a number of bosonic coordinates which generically lead to an infinite number of higher spin fields. A number of bosonic and the supersymmetric actions have been quantised and the towers of resulting higher spins found [8-14].

However, the action of equation (13) has not been quantised for the eleven dimensional case, nor have the corresponding actions for the IIA and IIB cases. The action for the IIA case contains a Majorana spinor of the ten dimensional theory and the Grassmann even coordinates are just those corresponding to the central charges of the supersymmetry algebra that occur for the IIA theory, i.e. $x^a, x_{ab}, x_{a_1 \dots a_5}, x_{a_1 \dots a_6}, x_{a_1 \dots a_9}, x_{a_1 \dots a_{10}}$. However, for the IIB theory we take the spinors to be two Majorana-Weyl spinors of the same chirality and the Grassmann even coordinates are given by

$$x^{\alpha i \beta j} = (C\gamma_a P_\pm)^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{ij} x^a + (C\gamma^a P_\pm)^{\alpha\beta} x_a^{ij} +$$

$$(C\gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3} P_\pm)^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{ij} x_{a_1 a_2 a_3} + (C\gamma^{a_1 \dots a_5} P_\pm)^{\alpha\beta} x^{ij}_{a_1 \dots a_5}, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \tag{14}$$

where x_a^{ij} is symmetric and traceless in its ij indices, $x^{ij}_{a_1 \dots a_5}$ is only symmetric in its ij indices, but self-dual, or anti-self-dual, in its $a_1 \dots a_5$ indices.

If we consider the action of equation (13) for $x^{ab} = 0 = x^{a_1 \dots a_5}$, and impose the constraint $(\bar{\lambda}\gamma^a\lambda)^2 = 0$, then it is equivalent to the Brink-Schwarz action [25] whose quantisation is known to lead to the massless states of eleven dimensional supergravity.

The actions for the IIA and IIB cases with all the coordinates except x^a set to zero are equivalent to the corresponding Brink-Schwarz actions. On the other hand, if we set $\theta_\alpha = 0$, its quantisation leads to an infinite number of higher spin fields. Thus the quantisation of the action of equation (13) should lead to an infinite number of propagating higher spin fields which occur in multiplets of spacetime supersymmetry and have as their lowest states those of eleven dimensional supergravity. It would be interesting to find out precisely what higher spin fields it propagates. Similar conclusions hold for the IIA and IIB cases and one cannot help wondering if one then finds some connection with the states of the IIA and IIB string theories.

In this paper we have constructed, at low levels, the dynamics of a point particle associated with a formulation of M theory based on a non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$. We have isolated the symmetries that such a point particle should possess at low levels, and we have written down a corresponding action. It is of the generic form of the point particle actions whose quantisation in less dimensions and for few supersymmetries have been previously considered and have been shown to lead to an infinite set of higher spin fields. The final quantised form of equation (13) will be a dynamics involving fields which are functions of the infinite set of coordinates associated with the l_1 representation. It would be interesting to see if this was the same as the non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \otimes_s l_1$ with local subalgebra $I_c(E_{11})$, however, in both cases one expects to find an infinite tower of higher spin fields. It is possible that one finds in this way the string states contained in the non-linear realisation. We note that the dependence of the fields on the additional bosonic coordinates is responsible for the propagating the higher spin states. Very recently [23], it was shown that the fields in the adjoint representation contain all possible dual formulations of the on-shell degrees of freedom of eleven dimensional supergravity and that some other of these fields are likely to be responsible for the gauged supergravities in lower dimensions. Of course it is not excluded that the fields in the adjoint representation can also contribute to higher propagating degrees of freedom, however, a possible picture is that the fields associated with the adjoint representation of E_{11}, apart from those at levels zero and one, make the symmetry manifest and that the dependence on the additional coordinates lead to higher spin propagating states. It is important to stress that we have been working only up to level three and so with a truncation of the real theory. In particular, the underlying non-linear realisation containing the l_1 representation possess an infinite number of coordinates and so the higher order results will be rather different.

We close this paper by returning to the discussion of the algebra $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$. As discussed above this algebra should contain $I_c(E_{11})$ and the conformal algebra. The generators P_a and K^a must separately belong to representations of $I_c(E_{11})$. We recall that P_a is the lowest component of the l_1 representation of E_{11} and it is natural to take K^a to belong to the representation that is obtained from the l_1 representation by the Cartan involution. This latter representation, is just the one with lowest weight $-\Lambda_1$, where Λ_1 is the highest weight of the fundamental representation associated with node one. It is obtained from the l_1 representation by acting with the Cartan involution I_c and so we may write it as $I_c(l_1)$. Assuming this we now give a plausible construction of $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$. The l_1 representation can be constructed by adding one node to the Dynkin diagram of $E_{11} = E_8^{+++}$ attached by a single line to node one. That is consider the algebra E_8^{++++};

the l_1 representation is then just the generators of level one with respect to this new node [24]. The generator K^a belongs to the representation of E_8^{+++} which is just all generators of the algebra E_8^{++++} with level -1 with respect to the additional node. We can now construct the algebra $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ from the algebra E_8^{++++}. We assume that the commutator of two generators from the l_1 representation vanish and adopt the same relation for any two generators of the $I_c(l_1)$ representation. This is tantamount to saying we only want generators of level 0 and ± 1 in $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$. Given a generator A in the l_1 representation, we can form the combinations $R = A + I_c(A)$ and $S = A - I_c(A)$. Clearly, $I_c(R) = R$ and $I_c(S) = -S$ and so the commutators $[R_1, R_2]$, and $[S_1, S_2]$ are invariant under I_c and are of level zero with respect to the additional node. As such, they are in E_8^{+++} and so belong to $I_c(E_{11})$ and we take their values in $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ to be just those that arise in the E_8^{++++} algebra. However, the commutator $[R_1, S_2]$ is of level zero with respect to the new node and so it is in E_8^{+++}, but it is odd under I_c. We now truncate the terms that appear on the right-hand side of this commutator. The simplest possibility to take all such terms on the right-hand side to be zero, except $D = -\sum_a K^a{}_a$, which we keep. One could also envisage a less restrictive condition, however, this would have to be compatible with the Jacobi identities, in particular those involving $I_c(E_{11})$, and the level analysis associated within E_{11} itself. Thus we have specified an algebra for the set of generators which are those in $I_c(E_{11})$, l_1, $I_c(l_1)$ and D which we suggest to be $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$. Should we apply this method to $GL(D) \otimes_s l_1$ it does lead to the conformal group $SO(2, D)$ and up to the first three levels $\mathbf{conf}(E_{11} \otimes_s l_1)$ is $Sp(64)$. This construction also works if we replace E_8 by any finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra G to construct $\mathbf{conf}(G^{+++} \otimes_s l_1)$. This latter algebra is relevant for theories based on the non-linear realisation of $G^{+++} \otimes_s l_1$ with which one can form the simultaneous non-linear realisation. Such theories include the extensions of pure gravity and the effective action of the closed bosonic string [1,28].

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the hospitality of the physics department of the University of Canterbury at Christchurch, New Zealand. I would like to thank Andrew Pressley for discussions on group theory, also discussions with Misha Vasiliev and Igor Bandos, the support of a PPARC senior fellowship PPA/Y/S/2002/001/44 and of a PPARC rolling grant PP/C5071745/1 and the EU Marie Curie, research training network grant HPRN-CT-2000-00122.

References

[1] P. West, *E_{11} and M Theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 4443, hep-th/010408.

[2] C. Fronsdal, *Massless Particles, Ortosymplectic Symmetry and Another Type of Kaluza–Klein Theory*, Preprint UCLA/85/TEP/10, in "Essays on Supersymmetry", Reidel, 1986 (Mathematical Physics Studies, v. 8).

[3] M. A. Vasiliev, *Unfolded representation for relativistic equations in (2+1) anti-De Sitter space*, Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 649 ;

[4] M.A. Vasiliev, *Relativity, Causality, Locality, Quantization and Duality in the $Sp(2M)$ Invariant Generalized Space-Time*, in *Multiple facets of quantization and supersym-*

metry. Marinov's Memorial Volume (eds. M.Olshanetsky and A.Vainshtein) pp. 826-872, hep-th/0111119.

[5] M.A. Vasiliev, *Conformal Higher Spin Symmetries of 4d Massless Supermultiplets and $osp(L, 2M)$ Invariant Equations in Generalized (Super)Space*, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 066006, hep-th/0106149.

[6] M. A. Vasiliev, *Progress in higher spin gauge theories*, hep-th/0104246, *Higher-Spin Theories and $Sp(2M)$ Invariant Space–Time*, hep-th/0301235.

[7] M.A.Vasiliev, *Actions, Charges and Off-Shell Fields in the Unfolded Dynamics Approach*, Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys. 3 (2006) 37-80, hep-th/0504090.

[8] I. Bandos and J. Lukierski, *Tensorial Central Charges and New Superparticle Models with Fundamental Spinor Coordinates*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **14** (1999) 1257, hep-th/9811022.

[9] I. Bandos and J. Lukierski, *New Superparticle Models Outside the HLS Supersymmetry Scheme*, Lect. Not. Phys. **539** (2000) 195 (hep-th/9812074).

[10] I. Bandos, J. Lukierski and D. Sorokin, *Superparticle Models with Tensorial Central Charges*, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 045002, hep-th/9904109.

[11] I. A. Bandos, J. Lukierski, C. Preitschopf and D. P. Sorokin, *OSp supergroup manifolds, superparticles and supertwistors*, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 065009, hep-th/9907113.

[12] V.E. Didenko, M.A. Vasiliev, J.Math.Phys.45:197-215,2004, hep-th/0301054; O.A. Gelfond, M.A. Vasiliev, Theor.Math.Phys.145:1400-1424,2005, Teor.Mat.Fiz.145:35-65,2005, hep-th/0304020.

[13] M. Plyushchay, D. Sorokin and M. Tsulaia,*Higher spins from tensorial charges and $OSp(N|2n)$ symmetry*, JHEP 0304 (2003) 013, hep-th/0301067; M. Plyushchay, D. Sorokin and M. Tsulaia, *GL Flatness of $OSp(1|2n)$ and Higher Spin Field Theory from Dynamics in Tensorial Spaces*, hep-th/0310297;

[14] I. Bandos, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin, M. Tonin, *Superfield Theories in Tensorial Superspaces and the Dynamics of Higher Spin Fields*, JHEP 0411 (2004) 023, hep-th/0407180; I. Bandos, X. Bekaert, J. de Azcarraga, D. Sorokin, M. Tsulaia, *Dynamics of Higher Spin Fields and Tensorial Space*, hep-th/0501113.

[15] P. C. West, *Hidden superconformal symmetry in M theory* , JHEP **08** (2000) 007, hep-th/0005270.

[16] V. Ogievetsky, Lett. *Infinite-dimensional algebra of general covariance group as the closure of the finite dimensional algebras of conformal and linear groups*, Nuovo. Cimento, 8 (1973) 988; A. Borisov and V. Ogievetsky, *Theory of dynamical affine and confomral symmetries as the theory of the gravitational field*, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 21 (1974) 329.

[17] P. West, *E_{11}, SL(32) and Central Charges*, Phys. Lett. **B 575** (2003) 333-342, `hep-th/0307098`

[18] J. van Holten and A. van Proeyen,*$N = 1$ supersymmetry algebras in $d = 2, 3, 4$ mod 8*, J. Phys A, 15 (1982) 376.

[19] T. Damour, A. Kleinschmidt, H. Nicolai, *Hidden symmetries and the fermionic sector of eleven-dimensional supergravity*, Phys.Lett. B634 (2006) 319-324, hep-th/0512163; S. de Buyl, M. Henneaux and L. Paulot, *Extended E8 Invariance of 11-Dimensional Supergravity*, JHEP 0602 (2006) 056, hep-th/0512292.

[20] P. West, *Brane dynamics, central charges and E_{11}*, hep-th/0412336.
[21] T. Damour, M. Henneaux and H. Nicolai, *E_{10} and a "small tension expansion" of M-theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 221601, `hep-th/0207267`
[22] P. Howe and P. West, *The Conformal Group, Point Particles and Twistors*, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A7 (1992) 6639.
[23] F. Riccioni and P. West, *Dual fields and E_{11}*, hep-th/0612001.
[24] A. Kleinschmidt and P. West, *Representations of G+++ and the role of space-time*, JHEP 0402 (2004) 033, hep-th/0312247.
[25] L. Brink and J. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. 100B (1981) 310.
[26] P. West, *E_{11} origin of Brane charges and U-duality multiplets*, JHEP 0408 (2004) 052, hep-th/0406150.
[27] J. Gomis, K. Kamimura and Peter West, *Diffeomorphism, kappa transformations and the theory of non-linear realisations* , JHEP 0610 (2006) 015, hep-th/0607104.
[28] N. Lambert and P. West, *Coset Symmetries in Dimensionally Reduced Bosonic String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B 615** (2001) 117,hep-th/0107209; F. Englert, L. Houart, A. Taormina and P. West, JHEP **0309** (2003) 020 *The Symmetry of M-Theories*, hep-th/0304206.